Arizona Supreme Court

Criminal Petition for Review-Post Conviction (ASC)

CR-23-0008-PR

STATE OF ARIZONA v LARRY DEAN ANDERSON

Appellate Case Information	Dept/Composition
Case Filed: 9-Jan-2023	En Banc
Case Closed:	Hon. Robert Brutinel Hon. Ann A. Scott Timmer Hon. Clint Bolick Hon. John R Lopez Hon. James P Beene Hon. William G Montgomery Hon. Kathryn H. King

Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

State of Arizona
 Attorneys for: Respondent

Laura Winsky Conover, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 24080) Bradley K Roach, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 17456)

Side 2. LARRY DEAN ANDERSON, Petitioner

(Litigant Group) LARRY DEAN ANDERSON

Larry Dean Anderson
 Attorneys for: Petitioner

Robert J McWhirter, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 12283)
Randal Boyd McDonald, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 32008)

Side 3. ARIZONA JUSTICE PROJECT, Amicus Curiae

(Litigant Group) ARIZONA JUSTICE PROJECT

Arizona Justice Project
 Attorneys for: Amicus Curiae

Karen Smith, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 33494)

Side 4. FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE, Amicus Curiae

(Litigant Group) FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE

Federal Public Defender's Office
 Attorneys for: Amicus Curiae

Jon M Sands, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 10441)

Keith James Hilzendeger, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 23685)

Side 5. MARICOPA COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, Amicus Curiae

(Litigant Group) MARICOPA COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

Maricopa County Attorney's Office
 Attorneys for: Amicus Curiae

Rachel H Mitchell, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 14560) Philip D Garrow, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 22458) Johnny Jacquez, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 37963)

CASE STATUS			
Nov 21, 2023Submitted	Aug 22, 2023 At Issue		
Aug 22, 2023Oral Argument Granted	Jun 30, 2023Continued		
Jan 9, 2023Pending			

PREDECE 2 CA	ESSOR CASE(S) 2 CA-CR 22-0121	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <comments></comments>	Trial	Dispo
♥ PIM	CR062244001			James E Marner, Trial	JURY	
				Comments: (none)		

4	♥ PIM CR002244001			James E Marner, Triai	JURY	
				Comments: (none)		
	22 PROCEEDING ENTRIES					
1.	9-Jan-2023	FILED: Petition for Review of Third Petition for F Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance;			Procedure 32.1	(g);
2.	9-Jan-2023	FILED: Certificate of Compliance; Certificate of	Service; Memorandum Dec	cision (Petitioner Anderson)		
3.	11-Jan-2023	FILED: Record from CofA: Link to Electronic Re	ecord			

Arizona Supreme Court

Criminal Petition for Review-Post Conviction (ASC)

CR-23-0008-PR

STATE OF ARIZONA v LARRY DEAN ANDERSON

22 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

4. 6-Mar-2023 The Clerk of the Supreme Court having been authorized by the Supreme Court to order any party to file a response to a petition for review at the direction of a Supreme Court staff attorney,

IT IS ORDERED that Respondent State shall file a response to the petition for review on or before April 5, 2023.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED no extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)

- 5. 5-Apr-2023 FILED: Response to Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Respondent State)
- 5-Apr-2023 FILED: Certificate of Compliance; (Copy of) Certificate of Service (Respondent State)
- 30-Jun-2023 ORDERED: Petition for Review of Third Petition for Post-Conviction Relief Under Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure 32.1(g) = CONTINUED.
- 8. 22-Aug-2023 ORDERED: Petition for Review of Third Petition for Post-Conviction Relief Under Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure 32.1(g) = GRANTED as to these rephrased issues:
 - 1. Is Anderson's ineffective assistance of counsel claim based on erroneous advice regarding the availability of parole precluded and untimely considering the extent of confusion in Arizona about the availability of parole after it was abolished?
 - 2. If not, is erroneous advice about the availability of parole objectively unreasonable in light of the extent of confusion in Arizona surrounding the availability of parole?

FURTHER ORDERED: The case shall be set for oral argument.

FURTHER ORDERED: The parties may file simultaneous supplemental briefs, not to exceed 20 pages in length, no later than 20 days from the date of this Court's Minute Letter. Any amicus briefs are due on or before September 26, 2023 and any responses to amicus briefs are due on or before October 16, 2023. Any amicus briefs or responses may not exceed 20 pages in length.

9.	29-Aug-2023	NOTICE OF ORAL ARGUMENT: Set for Tuesday, November 21, 2023 at 10:15 A.M. [twenty (20) minutes per side]
10.	31-Aug-2023	FILED: Notice of Acknowledgment of Oral Argument [Robert McWhirter will Argue] (Petitioner Anderson)
11.	1-Sep-2023	FILED: Notice of Acknowledgment of Oral Argument (Brad Roach will argue) (Respondent State)
12.	12-Sep-2023	FILED: Notice of Appearance; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Anderson)
13.	12-Sep-2023	FILED: Larry Anderson's Supplemental Brief; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance (Petitioner Anderson)
14.	12-Sep-2023	FILED: Appendix to Larry Anderson's Supplemental Brief; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Anderson)
15.	12-Sep-2023	FILED: Supplemental Brief; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance (Respondent State)
16.	26-Sep-2023	FILED: Brief of Amicus Curiae Arizona Justice Project; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance (Amicus Curiae AJP)
17.	26-Sep-2023	FILED: Motion for Leave to File Brief as Amicus Curiae (Amicus Curiae AJP)
18.	27-Sep-2023	A "Motion for Leave to File Brief as Amicus Curiae" (Amicus Curiae Arizona Justice Project) and the brief of amicus curiae were filed on September 26, 2023. After consideration,
		IT IS ORDERED the "Motion for Leave to File Brief as Amicus Curiae" is granted. The brief shall be filed as of September 26, 2023. (Hon. Robert Brutinel)
19.	26-Sep-2023	FILED: Brief of Amicus Curiae Federal Public Defender for the District of Arizona; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance (Amicus Curiae Federal Public Defender's Office)
20.	26-Sep-2023	FILED: Statement of Permission to File (Amicus Curiae Federal Public Defender's Office)
21.	26-Sep-2023	FILED: Amicus Curiae Brief of the Maricopa County Attorney's Office; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance (Amicus Curiae Maricopa County Attorney's Office)
22.	21-Nov-2023	ORAL ARGUMENT - Submitted for decision en banc (Attorneys who argued: Robert J. McWhirter, Bradley K. Roach)